

BT-NG-020621-545-0218

Bramford to Twinstead Reinforcement

Volume 8: Examination Submissions

Document 8.4.3 (C): Errata List

Final Issue C
February 2024

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020002

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 Regulation 8(1)(k)

nationalgrid



Page intentionally blank

Version History

Date	Issue	Status	Description / Changes
October 2023	A	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, from the date of submission and are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 2 (11 October 2023).
November 2023	B	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, between Deadline 2 (11 October 2023) and Deadline 4 (16 November 2023) are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 4 (16 November 2023).
February 2024	C	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, between Deadline 4 (16 November 2023) and Deadline 9 (23 February 2024) are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 9 (23 February 2024).

Contents

1.	Applicant's Errata List	1
1.1	Introduction	1

	Table 1.1 – Errata List	2
--	-------------------------	---

1. Applicant's Errata List

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (here on referred to as 'the Applicant') has made an application for development consent to reinforce the transmission network between Bramford Substation in Suffolk, and Twinstead Tee in Essex. The Bramford to Twinstead Reinforcement ('the project') would be achieved by the construction and operation of a new electricity transmission line over a distance of approximately 29km (18 miles), the majority of which would follow the general alignment of the existing overhead line network.
- 1.1.2 This Errata List has been prepared and submitted at [Deadline 9 \(23 February 2024\)](#) to detail errata and omissions within the documents identified throughout the Examination ~~to date~~ and provides corrections as necessary. These are set out in Table 1.1.
- 1.1.3 ~~Any subsequent errata and omissions identified will be included in updates to this document and submitted at subsequent deadlines during Examination.~~

Table 1.1 – Errata List

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
Deadline 2 Updates (11 October 2023)				
[APP-068]	Environmental Statement (ES) Non-Technical Summary 6.1	11.2.2	Inconsistency in number quoted for area of land in the Order Limits.	There is approximately 644ha of agricultural land within the draft Order Limits
[PDA-002]	Environmental Statement Figures 6.4	Figure 3.4	The overhead line is incorrectly labelled on the ES Figure 3.4 [APP-145]. It is shown as 400kV when it should be 132kV (as per all other plans and drawings).	This is a historical optioneering plan (showing the GSP substation study areas) and therefore does not change the basis of the assumptions used or the outcomes of the ES. Therefore, no update is proposed other than including reference to the errata in this table.
[APP-132]	ES Appendix 10.3: Minerals Resource Assessment (MRA)	2.4.3	Paragraph 2.4.3 of the MRA [APP-132] refers to Policy MP10 of the adopted Essex Minerals Local Plan, this should be Policy S8. The paragraph also mentions a Minerals Consultation Area (MCA), this should be a Mineral Safeguarding Area (MSA).	Policy S8 of the adopted Minerals Local Plan (Essex County Council, 2014) advises that the MSA will be safeguarded from proposed development in excess of 5ha. If a project sterilises 5ha of mineral within the MSA, the developer needs to demonstrate that 'the sand and gravel present is not of economic value, or not practically or environmentally feasible to extract, or that the mineral will be worked before the development takes place or used within the development.
[APP-130]	ES Appendix 10.1: Geology Baseline and Preliminary Risk Assessment	Line 8 in Table A.1 in Annex A	There is a missing digit in the first co-ordinate which states '58764, 237139'.	The correct coordinates should be 587964, 237139.
[APP-160]	Planning Statement 7.1	Paragraph 4.14.2 in page 30	The Planning Statement [APP-160] does not provide a decimal value and there has been a rounding error introduced in respect to pylon height.	Some pylons will have a maximum height of 62m (rounded to the nearest decimal point and subject to the Limits of Deviation). This explains the inconsistency between the Planning Statement [APP-160] (62m) and the Work Plans [APP-010] (62.23m).
[APP-035]	Explanatory Memorandum 3.2	Paragraph 4.1.2.21 in page 56	It is noted that there are some inconsistencies in the application documents in respect to the removal of 2km/2.5km of the existing 400kV (Route 4YLA) overhead line to the south of Twinstead Tee. The	Work Number 7 (shown on Sheets 21, 27 and 28 of the Work Plans) is concerned with the removal of approximately 2km of existing overhead electricity transmission line (Route 4YLA) between the Twinstead

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
			additional 0.5km (approximate) stated length of 400kV overhead line in the Explanatory Memorandum [APP-035] relates to the length of 400kV overhead line south of the Stour Valley West CSE compound, which whilst this 0.5km (approximate) length is being removed; it is being removed to be realigned in this section. The 2km figure relates only to removed lengths.	Tee and a point to the southwest of Alphamstone (indicated as 4YLA007 on Sheet 28 of the Work Plans). An updated version of the Explanatory Memorandum [APP-035] which incorporates this change will be submitted at Deadline 3.
[REP1-043]	Suffolk County Council Issue Specific Hearing 1: Post Hearing Submission Deadline 1	Point 5.2 in page 11	Suffolk County Council have drawn the Applicant's attention to certain factual errors in the drafting of Schedule 12 of the draft DCO [APP-034] and the Traffic Regulation Order Plans [APP-011], for example: The B1508 north of Bures is in Suffolk, not Essex; A 30mph limit already exists between TRO-AB-17 and TRO-AB-18 on Dukes Street Hintlesham (Sheet 7); and The street descriptions differ from those in the National Street Gazetteer.	The Applicant is reviewing Schedules 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12 of the draft DCO in light of the comments raised by Suffolk County Council. It is anticipated that any updates will be published at Deadline 3.
Deadline 4 Updates (16 November 2023)				
[APP-160]	Planning Statement	Section 4.3.3	Typographical	The following entry: is amended to read, ' <i>For the purposes of the local planning policy assessment, Section A (Bramford Substation) and Section B (Hintlesham) are addressed separately, although, these are combined into a single Section AB (Bramford/Hintlesham) elsewhere in the application. This recognises that Section A (Bramford Substation) falls within Mid Suffolk District, whereas Section B (Hintlesham) falls within Babergh District Mid-Suffolk District.</i> '
[APP-092]	ES Appendix 4.3, Greenhouse Gas Assessment	Paragraph 2.1.2	Typographical	The Carbon Asset Database underpinning the carbon calculations incorporates all appropriate GHG in the CO2e within the data. Paragraph 2.1.2 should have more accurately referenced 'CO2e' rather than 'CO2'.
[APP-101]	ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section AB Part 1	Wireline of viewpoint AB01	Error in the wireline of viewpoint AB01	The wireline was mistakenly orientated at 225°, whereas to align with the photograph it should be at 192° i.e. in a more southerly direction. This correction has been made

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				to the wireline and revision B has been submitted at Deadline 4.
[APP-105]	ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section F Part 5	Wireline of viewpoint F01	Error in the wireline of viewpoint F01	The pylon illustrated in the wireline showing the project was mistakenly illustrated as a suspension pylon. The pylon illustrated should have been a tension pylon. This correction has been made to the wireline and revision B has been submitted at Deadline 4.
[REP3-026]	CEMP Appendix A – Code of Construction Practice	GH07	The HRA Report was updated at Deadline 1 with the then current wording of GH07 [REP1-007/008]. However, the wording has been further updated following the Environment Agency’s Written Representation [REP2-023] and this has been reflected in the CoCP which has been updated at Deadline 3 [REP3-026].	The following entry: is amended to read, ‘A hydrogeological risk assessment will be undertaken once the trenchless crossing method has been confirmed. This will assess the risks on groundwater or surface water quality associated with the construction method including considering the potential for breakout during drilling and the use of bentonite or other agents proposed. Where the assessment identifies an unacceptable risk to groundwater or surface water quality, then alternative methods and/or additives shall be proposed, assessed and used. The hydrogeological risk assessment will be submitted to the Environment Agency for information prior to construction approval prior to construction. The Environment Agency will have up to 21 working days to respond on the hydrogeological risk assessment and their comments will be considered as part of finalising the risk assessment. This can be supported by a pre-submission draft to reduce the risk of any delays.’
[APP-160]	Planning Statement	Section 4.3.3	Typographical	Section 4.3.3 of the Planning Statement [APP-160] is amended to read, ‘For the purposes of the local planning policy assessment, Section A (Bramford Substation) and Section B (Hintlesham) are addressed separately, although, these are combined into a single Section AB (Bramford/Hintlesham) elsewhere in the application. This recognises that Section A (Bramford Substation) falls within Mid Suffolk District, whereas Section B (Hintlesham) falls within Babergh District.’
[APP-092]	Environmental statement Appendix	Paragraph 2.1.2	As per paragraph 2.1.3 of ES Appendix 4.3, Greenhouse Gas Assessment [APP-092]	Paragraph 2.1.2 has been amended to more accurately reference ‘CO2e’ rather than ‘CO2’.

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	4.3: Greenhouse Gas Assessment		greenhouse gases (GHG) are equated to a carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e). The Carbon Asset Database underpinning the carbon calculations incorporates all appropriate GHG in the CO2e within the data. Therefore paragraph 2.1.2 should have more accurately referenced 'CO2e' rather than 'CO2'	
[REP3-011]	Special Category Land Report	Plots 3-13, 31- 16, 3-18 and 3-22	The ExA questioned that Table 3.1 of the Special Category Land Report [APP-041], Compulsory Acquisition Powers sought over Open Spaces, said in respect of Hintlesham Golf Course that CA Class 4 Compulsory Acquisition of rights of access are sought. However, when Sheet 02 of the Special Category Land Plan [APP-009] is cross referenced with Sheet 03 of the Land Plans [APP-008], five of the plots identified as Open Space appear to be sought for Class 3 – Compulsory Acquisition of rights, underground cable. These are 3-13, 3-16, 3-18, 3-22 and 3-23.	Table 3.1 of the Special Category Land Report [APP-041] was amended at Deadline 3 [REP3-011] to reflect that the plots 3-13, 31- 16, 3-18 and 3-22 at Hintlesham Golf Club are recorded as Compulsory Acquisition (CA) Class 4 and not as Class 3 as they are currently recorded in that document.
[REP3-011]	Special Category Land Report	Plots 6-02 and 6-04	The ExA questioned that Table 3.1 of the Special Category Land Report [APP-041], Compulsory Acquisition Powers sought over Open Spaces, says in respect of Hintlesham Great Wood that CA Class 2 - Compulsory Acquisition of rights, overhead line is sought. However, when Sheet 03 of the Special Category Land Plans [APP-009] is cross-referenced with Sheet 06 of the Land Plans [APP-008], two of the plots identified as open space appear to be sought for Class 4 - Compulsory Acquisition of rights of access. These are 6-02 and 6-04	Row 2, Column 5 of Table 3.1 in Special Category Land Report [REP3-011] has been amended at Deadline 3 to show Plots 6-02 and 6-04 as CA Class of Right 4 instead of CA Class of Right 2 that they currently show.
[REP1-025]	Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations	Table 3.13	The ExA asked the Applicant to confirm an omission of 'no' in Table 3.13, at the top of page 106 (i'.e., should it read,' "s no significant effect...')?	This response should read 'no significant effect' as no significant effect is expected on Hintlesham Hall.
[APP-103]	Environmental Statement Appendix	Page 31	The ExA questioned the meaning and consideration of 'The removal of the existing 132kV overhead line	There is an error in the first sentence of the Year 1 operation text for D07 in ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section D Part 3		and presence of underground cable would remove the 400kV pylon behind the conifers...'. The sentence 'does not take into consideration screening effects of existing vegetation' was included in error. This stemmed from a comparative ZTV being produced initially without woodland but was subsequently updated to include woodland as described on Figure 6.7 [APP-146].	Assessment Section D Part 3 [APP-103]. The assessment should say: 'The absence of 132kV pylons in the midground would be beneficial'.
[APP-074]	Environmental Statement, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual	Paragraph 6.4.6		This sentence was included in error 'does not take into consideration screening effects of existing vegetation' and should be ignored when reading the document.

Deadline 9 updates (23 February 2024)

[APP-127]	ES Appendix 8.2 Historic Environment Assessment	Table 3.1 page 10	Missing archaeological asset from Table 3.1 of ES Appendix 8.2: Historic Environment Impact Assessment [APP-127].	Under 'Asset': Ring ditch of unknown date, visible as a crop mark (MSF13637) visible as a pale soil mark; - under 'Value': Low; - under 'Impacts Identified': Excavation associated with temporary access point AB-AP4; - under 'Magnitude': Medium adverse; - under 'Significance of effect': Minor adverse.
[APP-134]	ES Appendix 12.1 Traffic and Transport Significance of Effects Tables	Table 3.1, Page 8	Missing receptor - Ryes college, Bures Road, Assington	Table 3.1 should include: Road: Bures Road, Receptor sensitivity: amend from 'medium' to 'very high'. Rationale for sensitivity: Village Hall and school. Magnitude: 'Negligible' Significance: amend from 'neutral' to 'minor'.
[APP-134]	ES Appendix 12.1 Traffic and Transport Significance of Effects Tables	Table 4.1, Page 14	Missing receptor - Ryes college, Bures Road, Assington	Table 4.1 should include: Road: Bures Road, Receptor sensitivity: amend from 'medium' to 'very high'. Rationale for sensitivity: Village Hall and school. Magnitude: 'Negligible' Significance: amend from 'neutral' to 'minor'.

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
[REP3-052]	8.5.4 Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions	Question MG1.0.41 page 21	The sentence 'The Applicant is part of the National Grid Group which has a regulatory asset value of £58.977m ...' has a typographical error,	The Applicant confirmed that National Grid Group's regulatory asset value should read "£58,977m", rather than "£58.977m", in the Applicant's response to Question MG1.0.41 in the Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions [REP3-052].
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	8.7.4	Following the adoption of the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan, some of the Neighbourhood Plan Policies were revised. Also see MG2.0.6 of the Applicant's Response to the Examining Authority's Second Written Questions [REP7-025] which considered additional policies identified.	Policies were amended as follows: Policy LEAV4: Surface Water Drainage Issue Locations Policy LEAV2: Local Green Space (Area 5) (Area 3) Policy LEAV2: Local Green Space (Area 8) (Area 4) Policy LEAV3: Leavenheath Special Landscape Area Landscape and biodiversity
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	8.7.2	Final sentence requires deletion as the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan has since passed the referendum stage and has been adopted: 'There are four adopted Neighbourhood Plans within the Order Limits; the Assington Neighbourhood Plan, the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan and the Little Cornard Neighbourhood Plan. Meanwhile, the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan is currently awaiting referendum.'	Paragraph to read as follows: 'There are four adopted Neighbourhood Plans within the Order Limits; the Assington Neighbourhood Plan, the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan and the Little Cornard Neighbourhood Plan. Meanwhile, the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan is currently awaiting referendum.'
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	7.3.96	Paragraph number is missing between 7.3.96 and 7.3.97.	In order to not impact the rest of the paragraph numbering, this Paragraph will be referred to as 7.3.96(a).
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	7.4.2	The date the NPPF was updated is incorrect, which currently states 'The NPPF was updated on 5 September 2023'	Line to be amended as follows: 'The NPPF was updated on 19 December 2023.'

National Grid plc
National Grid House,
Warwick Technology Park,
Gallows Hill, Warwick.
CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales
No. 4031152
nationalgrid.com